Referee evaluation form

Referee’s name:

Title of manuscript:

Received on: Returned on:

Items for the assessment:

1. Innovative quality of the arguments and their relevance for the history of the discipline:
   excellent ___;  good ___;  acceptable ___;  inadequate___;

2. Methodological rigour and organization of material:
   excellent ___;  good ___;  acceptable ___;  inadequate___;

3. Clarity of exposition:
   excellent ___;  good ___;  acceptable ___;  inadequate___;

4. Style and formal accuracy:
   excellent ___;  good ___;  acceptable ___;  inadequate___;

5. Quality, extensiveness and updating of bibliographical information:
   excellent ___;  good ___;  acceptable ___;  inadequate___.

• Remarks/suggestions for the author:

• Confidential remarks/suggestions for the editor/s:

Referee’s opinion:

1. Accepted ___;

2. Subject to minor revisions ___;

3. Subject to major revisions ___;

4. Rejected ___;