



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI
"L'Orientale"



UniorPress

UniorPress

Referee evaluation form

Referee's name:

Title of manuscript:

Received on:

Returned on:

Items for the assessment:

1. Innovative quality of the arguments and their relevance for the history of the discipline:

excellent ___; good ___; acceptable ___; inadequate___;

2. Methodological rigour and organization of material:

excellent ___; good ___; acceptable ___; inadequate___;

3. Clarity of exposition:

excellent ___; good ___; acceptable ___; inadequate___;

4. Style and formal accuracy:

excellent ___; good ___; acceptable ___; inadequate___;

5. Quality, extensiveness and updating of bibliographical information:

excellent ___; good ___; acceptable ___; inadequate___.

• **Remarks/suggestions for the author:**

• **Confidential remarks/suggestions for the editor/s:**

Referee's opinion:

1. Accepted ___;

2. Subject to minor revisions ___;

3. Subject to major revisions ___;

4. Rejected ___;